

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEVIN PAUL WOODRUFF,

Petitioner,

v.

HARLEY G. LAPPIN,

Respondent.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL NO. 3:CV-07-0167

(Judge Caputo)

ORDER

Petitioner, Kevin Paul Woodruff, an inmate at the United States Penitentiary in Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, commenced this action by filing a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2241. By Order dated February 7, 2007 (Doc. 2), this Court concluded that § 2241 was unavailable to Petitioner, and the case was summarily dismissed without prejudice. Presently before the Court is Petitioner's motion for reconsideration of that Order. The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence. *Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki*, 779 F.2d 906, 909 (3d Cir. 1985). Upon consideration of the motion, this Court finds that Petitioner has failed to provide the evidence required for a successful motion for reconsideration.

ACCORDINGLY, THIS 22nd DAY OF MARCH, 2007, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Petitioner's motion for reconsideration (Doc. 3) is **DENIED**.

/s/ A. Richard Caputo
A. RICHARD CAPUTO
United States District Judge